

Kitsap Public Health District
Summary of Comments Received for Proposed Drinking Water Supply Regulations (updated June 2018)

–	Against ordinance
+	Supports ordinance
/	Position unknown or not stated
+ (*)	Was against ordinance initially; now in support

Total number of comments: 42 comments received (36 prior to public hearing; 6 after hearing)

Number of Group B water systems represented by comments: 28 systems (3% of 857 total)

Total against: 28 comments

Total support: 11 comments

Total not stated: 2 comments

Kitsap Public Health District
Summary of Comments Received for Proposed Drinking Water Supply Regulations (updated June 2018)

Date	Feedback submission details	Name	Water System (if known)	+/-	Issues
5/3/18	Email /web submission	Alan Westphal	Springwood #1	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Fees should only be paid by non-compliant systems • Enforcement should be done on non-compliant systems • No evidence of public health issues
5/3/18	Email /web submission	George Hart	H.R.S.	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Why are realtors allowed to take water samples?
5/4/18	Email /web submission	Douglas Janachek	Walker Beach	+ (*)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Fee structure not equitable – private wells not required to pay
5/4/18	Email /web submission	Tom Hornburg	Cool	+ (*)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fees should only be paid by non-compliant system • Objects to in-compliance systems subsidizing out-of-compliance systems • Fee structure not equitable (same fee for big or small system) • Requested clarification on ordinance fees
5/4/18	Email /web submission	Stan Gacioch	Amis	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Fee structure not equitable (same fee for big or small system)
5/4/18	Email /web submission Attended/spoke at 5/7 listening session	Tim Ferris	Thompson	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Fees should only be paid by non-compliant systems • Group B wells are private not public
5/3/18	Email /web submission	Pat Neumann	Olalla Grange	/	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested clarification on inspection requirement and fees specific to Olalla Grange.
5/4/18	Email /web submission Attended/spoke at 5/7 listening session	Glen Jurges	Enetai Beach	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strongly in favor of ordinance. • Owners need to be aware of the water quality in their system • Overdue fees should be required for late or non-payment • WSR fee should be higher • KPHD should not provide service to those systems that do not pay
5/7/18	Attended/spoke at 5/7 listening session	James T. Anderson	Fraser Road	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Health District should remove the local mandates requiring this work • Will there be a vote on this? • The Health District should provide technical resources to water systems

Kitsap Public Health District
Summary of Comments Received for Proposed Drinking Water Supply Regulations (updated June 2018)

5/7/18	Attended/spoke at 5/7 listening session	Hailee Zettley	Three Berry Lane	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fees should only be paid by non-compliant systems • Why doesn't the public get a vote? • There should not be inspections • Why does the burden only fall on Group B water systems?
5/7/18	Attended/spoke at 5/7 listening session	Carolyn Zimmers	Feather Ridge 1 & Feather Ridge 2	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In favor of ordinance. • Customers need better information and improved communication from purveyor • Concerns about lack of detail/support concerning ownership determinations
05/07/18	Email /web submission	Jane Brunton	Casey Street	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested summary of changes and clarification on ordinance • Purveyors/owners of water systems need more support/structure to better match the level of responsibility expected
5/7/18	Email /web submission	Wendy Hufnagle	Springwood Lane	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to / questions about KPHD's hourly rate / cost projections • No evidence of public health issues • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Wants KPHD to provide more resources • Fee structure not equitable – private wells not required to pay • Only systems out-of-compliance should be fined or pay fees
5/8/18	Email /web submission	K Overfield	Forest Haven #2	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requested clarification on Sections 5, 12 and 14 of the new ordinance.
5/8/18	Email /web submission	Michael Overfield	Forest Haven #2	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to / questions about KPHD's hourly rate / cost projections • KPHD accepts no risk or responsibility
5/8/18	Email /web submission	Anonymous	Unknown	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned about Sections 5 and 12 • Questions about fees
5/8/18	Email /web submission Attended 5/7 listening session	Robert Ehlers	Private well	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • No evidence of a public health issue • Concerned about lack of notice for and time of public listening session • Water samples already required by mortgage companies at conveyance; additional regulation not needed
5/8/18	Email /web submission	Brad Hofman	Forest Haven #2	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does not see significant changes to ordinance from last year • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Already pays for SMA; shouldn't have to pay additional fees. • Objects to / questions about KPHD's hourly rate / cost projections • Questions why KPHD accepts no risk or responsibility

Kitsap Public Health District
Summary of Comments Received for Proposed Drinking Water Supply Regulations (updated June 2018)

5/8/18	Phone call with John Kiess	Janice Hill	Cole	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Favors the time of sale reporting requirements.
5/8/18	Phone call with John Kiess	Brad Gardner	Private well	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Had questions / requested clarification on ordinance. In support of new regulations.
5/8/18	Email /web submission Attended/spoke at 5/7 listening session	Terry Hubbard	Fraser Road	+ (*)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested clarification on when a Group B system will be required to hire a Satellite Management Agency.
5/9/18	Email /web submission	Betsy Cooper	League of Women Voters	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In favor of ordinance.
5/9/18	Email /web submission	Robin Gazay	Forest Haven #2	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach Objects to / questions about KPHD's hourly rate / cost projections No evidence of a public health issue Upset that KPHD accepts no risk or responsibility
5/13/18	Email /web submission	Keith Pittman	Private well	+ (*)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about taxation of private wells Was opposed to ordinance initially, responded in support of ordinance after KPHD provided clarification/explanation that ordinance does not include fees or taxes on private wells.
5/14/18	Email /web submission	Lori Johnson	David Sicks	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach
5/14/18	Email /web submission	Anonymous	Unknown	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach or overreach
5/14/18	Email /web submission	Michael Vineyard	Rhododendron Acreage Tract	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objects to / questions about KPHD's hourly rate / cost projections Only non-compliant systems should pay a fee In-compliance systems or those with SMA should not have to pay KPHD should provide more resources, checklists, etc.
5/16/18	Phone call to John Kiess 5/16/18. Follow-up Email /web submission sent with additional information.	Jason Chase	Broomgerrie	+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requested clarification on what changes were made to ordinance since regulations proposed last year. In favor or ordinance.

Kitsap Public Health District
Summary of Comments Received for Proposed Drinking Water Supply Regulations (updated June 2018)

5/16/18	Email /web submission	J.D. Burbridge	Forest Lane	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fees should only be paid by non-compliant systems • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach
5/18/18	Email /web submission	Don Dickson	Prince Pine	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The people who benefit from government services should be the ones to pay for them. • What services are provided for the fees?
5/17/18	Email /web submission	David Ellingson	Virginia Cove	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to / questions about KPHD's hourly rate / cost projections • Who were stakeholders involved in development of revisions? • Many counties do not have Group B regulations – these are unnecessary. • Fees should only be paid by non-compliant systems • What is included in Water Status Report?
5/17/18	Email /web submission	Kirk Payne	Orseth	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fee structure not equitable – private wells not required to pay • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach
5/17/18	Email /web submission	Joe Lubischer	Unknown	/	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a mark-up of existing ordinance?
5/18/18	Email /web submission	Carolyn Schuster	Unknown	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach
5/19/18	Email /web submission	Maaren Stroble	Hintz	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • Concerned about lack of notice for feedback deadline.
<i>Comments received after public hearing, during extended public comment period (June 5 – June 12)</i>					
6/5/18	Email/web submission	Rick Kuhns	Paulson Road #43786C	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation
6/7/18	Email/web submission	Matt Ryan	Brownsville Water District No. 1 #088856	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerned that ordinance is anti-growth, not pro-public health based on required set-backs. • Regulations do not speak to saltwater intrusion. • Objects to definition of a “qualified individual” for taking water samples.
6/12/18	Email/web submission	David and Marie St. Martin	Joanne Lane Community Club #367748	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • No evidence of public health issues • Lack of funding by County and State indicate that these services are deemed unnecessary

Kitsap Public Health District

Summary of Comments Received for Proposed Drinking Water Supply Regulations (updated June 2018)

6/12/18	Email/web submission	Reid Johnson and Elise Golsan	Hill #AB533D	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to unnecessary regulation • Concerned that KPHD accepts no risk or responsibility for issues with Group B systems •
6/12/18	Email/web submission	Michael & Cori Donnelly	Hill #AB533D	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objects to fee/unnecessary regulation / government intrusion or overreach • No evidence of public health issues •
6/15/18	Email/web submission	Brian Moody	Gen-Don #45453T	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Questions about what services covered by fee • Objects to fee if KPHD is not taking on the responsibility of water testing